1836 9

SENATE..... .....No. 8.

REPORT

OF THE

SECRETARY OF STATE AND TREASURER,

ON THE

APPORTIONMENT OF THE SCHOOL FUND.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

To His Excellency the Governor, and the Honorable Council:

Respectfully represent, the undersigned, Secretary and Treasurer of the Commonwealth, that, in obedience to the provisions of the act of April 8th, 1835, entitled "An act to provide for the distribution of the income of the Massachusetts School Fund," they proceeded, on the first day of January instant, to apportion said income, on the basis established by the act aforesaid, to the city of Boston, and the several towns and districts which had made "returns according to law for the year next preceding the time of said apportionment;" which apportionment they herewith submit to the Governor and Council, that a warrant or warrants may be drawn in favor of said city, towns and districts, for the sums respectively due to them.

The apportionment has been made on the income of the fund calculated up to the first of December last, leaving the income for the month of December to be embraced in the apportionment of next year. This course appeared proper for reasons not necessary to be detailed

here; but which can be explained on reference to the treasury department.

Returns were received in the secretary's office, from 219 towns, "on or before the first day of November." Returns were received at a subsequent date from 62 towns; and from 24 towns no returns were received up to the first day of January.

We have rejected from the apportionment all the returns which were received after "the first day of November." This may be a cause of disappointment to those towns which, by a delay in transmitting their returns, will be deprived of their share of the last year's income. It was a subject of regret to us; but our duty must be performed; and, in our opinion, it required us to exclude from the apportionment all towns which had not made seasonable returns. The act of April 8th, 1835, requires, "that the school returns from the city of Boston, and the several towns and districts in the Commonwealth, provided to be made by the statute of one thousand eight hundred and twenty six, chapter one hundred and forty three, shall hereafter be made to the secretary of the Commonwealth, on or before the first day of November in each year;" it prescribes the form of return, and then enacts, that "no apportionment of the school fund as hereinafter provided shall be made to any city, town, or district, which shall have failed to make returns according to law, for the year next preceding the time of said apportionment." These terms we consider imperative, leaving to us no discretion on this point. The *time* fixed for making the return appears to us a substantial and essential part of the duty imposed upon the town, and that we could no more receive a return made one day after that time, than we could now receive one,

and proceed to a new apportionment for the purpose of including it. It is obvious that some limitation must be determined upon, and that it must be strictly adhered to, or confusion would ensue; and it is understood that the first of November, was, in the present law, substituted for the first of December, that more ample time might be allowed for preparing and printing an abstract, and apportioning the annual income, previous to the meeting of the legislature.

In several instances, it is stated, either in the return itself, or in a written or verbal communication accompanying it, that the failure was caused by accident, or by not seasonably receiving the blanks and copies of the law sent from the secretary's office. On this point we remark, that the proper blanks and copies were transmitted by the secretary, on the first day of September, to the sheriffs of the several counties, with an injunction for their speedy delivery. It is a subject of regret that any should fail of their destination; but it is presumed that this would furnish no legal justification to the returning officers of the towns for their neglect; as the act itself was published in the official paper of the government, as early as April, and republished in the other papers which print the state laws, and was also printed, as early as the first of May, in the annual pamphlet of laws, of which each town receives a copy. Ignorance of the law, therefore, cannot, it would seem, be properly pleaded. The act embraces a complete transcript of the form of return required, which might have been copied by the school committee, if they failed to receive the printed forms from the secretary's office; these are required to be distributed as a matter of convenience to the returning officers, but not as a necessary

preliminary to their making any return. In those few cases, where accident, without any remissness on the part of the school committee, or other officers of the town, may have prevented seasonable returns, it is still more to be regretted, that our duty should require us to reject them; but, as has been well remarked, "a law which adapts itself to all circumstances is no law;" and we cannot see any reason for accepting these returns which would not apply to returns of votes for state and county officers, which are invariably rejected, if not made within the time limited by law, although the returning officers may be innocent of all blame in the premises, and although the result of an important election may be affected by a merely accidental failure.

A considerable proportion of the returns are defective in their answers to some of the questions proposed, or otherwse vary in some respects from the *exact* form; but we did not consider ourselves at liberty to reject them on this account, where the committees had made use of the *general* form. We considered a mere variation in *form* when the *substance* was expressed, as not precluding their acceptance, for the same reasons which have always been deemed sufficient to authorize the acceptance of election returns under like circumstances. In both cases we think that a failure to make the return in due season must be demed a *substantial* error, but a mere inaccuracy in form, will not prevent its being received as made "according to law." If we had required that every return should state the questions and answers in their precise order, or should contain definite answers to every question, or should be found even, to have omitted no one of the questions to which it was designed answers should be given, we should have been com-

pelled to reject, probably, two thirds of the whole number. This we cannot think was intended by the legislature.

There arises a difficulty in settling the apportionment of the town of *Webster*, which was not foreseen by the legislature when the act of April 8th, 1835, was passed. This town has been incorporated since the last census, and was taken from the towns of *Oxford* and *Dudley*. We have not the means of determining what was the population of that part of the two latter towns which now constitutes the town of *Webster*, and are therefore unable to make an apportionment to this town of the moiety of the income to be divided "on the ratio of population." If *Webster* can ascertain what proportion of the population of its territory was in 1830 within the limits of *Oxford*, it will have an equitable claim on this town, for a share of the sum apportioned "on the ratio of population," and that amount can easily be settled; but as *Dudley* did not make its return in season, and consequently is not included in the apportionment, it is not apparent in what way *Webster* is to receive the share to which it is properly entitled for the population formerly embraced in the limits of *Dudley*. It appeared to us, to be out of our power to make any deduction from the apportionment to *Oxford*, and add it to the apportionment to *Webster*, it being altogether uncertain what the proper amount of such deduction and addition would be.

The town of *Sudbury* states the amount raised by taxes last year at $1450, but as it appears, by subsequent statements, that $775 of this was expended on the "school houses," and $675 only for "instruction and fuel," it was considered proper to take the last men-

tioned sum as the "amount raised by taxes for supporting common schools," particularly as in some other towns the returning officers have stated sums expended for repairs of school houses, but in no other instance we believe have they estimated these expenses as a part of the amount raised for "support of schools," though it is not improbable this may have been done in some cases, where it is not apparent on the face of the returns. That this was the first construction given to the law by the school committee of *Sudbury* is evident, by their having first stated the "amount raised by taxes, &c." at $675, and afterwards erased it and substituted $1450.

In *Worcester*, the sum raised by the town is $3600. In addition to which district No. 1, raises $1900, by taxes, making the whole amount raised in the town by tax $5,500, [as stated in the abstract] but, however, just as it may seem that the aggregate of the sums separately raised by the town and by the district, should form the basis of apportionment, we felt ourselves constrained to take only the sum raised by the *town*. The language of the law is, "one moiety shall be apportioned on the ratio of the amount of monies raised by taxation and expended *by each town*," &c.—from which we infer that the sum raised by *town tax*, without regard to the sums raised in *school districts*, was intended by the legislature. There are several other towns, in which it would appear that the districts have taxed themselves, for the extension of the common schools, but in no instance, to our knowledge, have the committee assumed the sums thus raised, as making part of the amount raised by the town.

It may be proper to remark in conclusion, that by the revised code of laws, [which will go into operation

in May next,] a basis for apportioning the annual income of the school fund, is adopted, varying essentially from the basis now established. So that the present, as it is the first, will also be the last apportionment, made on the ratio of population and taxes, unless there be some new provision by the legislature.

EDWARD D. BANGS, *Secretary.*
HEZH. BARNARD, *Treasurer.*

January 13th, 1836.

IN COUNCIL, JAN. 13th, 1836.

The foregoing communication is read, and is ordered to be laid upon the table.

Attest, EDWARD D. BANGS, *Secretary.*

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2017 with funding from
This project is made possible by a grant from the Institute of Museum and Library Services as administered by the Pennsylvania Department of Education through the Office of Commonwealth Libraries

https://archive.org/details/reportofsecretar00mass_0

MASSACHUSETTS SCHOOL FUND.

Apportionment of the Income of the Massachusetts School Fund, made January 1st, 1836, by the Secretary and Treasurer of the Commonwealth; in conformity to the provisions of the Act of April 8th, 1835, entitled "An Act to provide for the distribution of the Income of the Massachusetts School Fund;"—said Income to be distributed among the several Towns and Districts which have made Returns of the state of their schools, according to law, for the year 1835;—the Income amounting to $16,231 39 cents, (after deducting $100 to be paid to "Marshpee District,") and to be apportioned to the towns as follows, viz:—one moiety "on the ratio of population as determined by the next preceding census of the United States;" and the other moiety "on the ratio of the amount of monies raised by taxation and expended for the support of common schools in the next preceding year."

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK.

TOWNS.	Population by census of 1830.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of population.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of money raised by tax.	Total amount due to the towns.
Boston, . . .	61,392	$68,500 00	$1,051 84	$1,910 23	$2,962 07

COUNTY OF ESSEX.

Amesbury, . .	2445	$1,500 00	$41 89	$41 83	$83 72
Andover, . . .	4540	1,700 00	77 78	47 41	125 19
Beverly, . . .	4065	1,900 00	69 64	52 98	122 62
Boxford, . . .	937	500 00	16 05	13 94	29 99
Bradford, . . .	1856	1,040 00	31 79	29 00	60 79
Haverhill, . . .	3912	2,000 00	67 02	55 77	122 79
Ipswich, . . .	2951	1,400 00	50 56	39 04	89 60

ESSEX COUNTY—*Continued.*

TOWNS.	Population by census of 1830.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of population.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of money raised by tax.	Total amount due to the towns.
Lynnfield, . .	617	400 00	10 57	11 15	21 72
Manchester, . .	1238	600 00	21 22	16 73	37 95
Marblehead, . .	5150	3,500 00	88 23	97 60	185 83
Methuen, . . .	2011	900 00	34 45	25 09	59 54
Middleton, . .	607	400 00	10 40	11 15	21 55
Newbury, . . .	3603	2,000 00	61 74	55 77	117 51
Newburyport, . .	6388	4,000 00	109 44	111 54	220 98
Rowley, . . .	2044	900 00	35 02	25 09	60 11
Salem, . . .	13886	10,600 00	237 91	295 59	533 50
Salisbury, . . .	2519	1,500 00	43 15	41 83	84 98
Saugus, . . .	960	800 00	16 45	22 31	38 76
Topsfield, . . .	1011	550 00	17 32	15 34	32 66
West Newbury, .	1586	600 00	27 17	16 73	43 90
	62,326	36,790 00	1,067 80	1,025 89	2,093 69

COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX.

Acton, . . .	1128	500 00	19 32	13 94	33 26
Ashby, . . .	1240	500 00	21 24	13 94	35 18
Boxborough, . .	474	300 00	8 12	8 37	16 49
Brighton, . . .	972	900 00	16 65	25 09	41 74
Cambridge, . .	6073	4,447 62	104 04	124 03	228 07
Charlestown, . .	8787	7,500 00	150 54	209 14	359 68
Concord, . . .	7010	1,400 00	34 56	39 04	73 60
Framingham, . .	2313	1,500 00	39 63	41 83	81 46

MIDDLESEX COUNTY—*Continued.*

TOWNS.	Population by census of 1830.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of population,	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of money raised by tax	Total amount due to the towns.
Groton, . . .	1925	1,200 00	32 98	33 46	66 44
Holliston, . . .	1304	875 00	22 34	24 40	46 74
Hopkinton, . .	1809	840 00	30 99	23 43	54 42
Lexington, . .	1541	1,000 00	26 40	27 89	54 29
Littleton, . . .	947	850 00	16 22	23 70	39 92
Malden, . . .	2010	1,450 00	34 43	40 43	74 86
Marlborough, . .	2074	1,000 00	35 53	27 89	63 42
Medford, . . .	1755	2,000 00	30 06	55 77	85 83
Natick, . . .	890	600 00	15 24	16 73	31 97
Newton, . . .	2377	1,800 00	40 72	50 19	90 91
Reading, . . .	1806	1,000 00	30 94	27 89	58 83
South Reading, ,	1310	875 00	22 44	24 40	46 84
Sudbury, . . .	1424	675 00	24 39	18 82	43 21
Tewksbury, . .	1527	500 00	26 16	13 94	40 10
Tyngsborough, .	832	500 00	14 25	13 94	28 19
Waltham, . . .	1857	1,550 00	31 81	43 22	75 03
Watertown, . .	1641	1,200 00	28 12	33 46	61 58
Wayland, . . .	944	675 00	16 17	18 82	34 99
Westford, . . .	1329	800 00	22 77	22 31	45 08
Weston, . . .	1091	950 00	18 69	26 49	45 18
Woburn, . . .	1977	800 00	33 87	22 31	56 18
	55 374	38,187 62	948 62	1,064 87	2,013 49

COUNTY OF WORCESTER.

TOWNS.	Population by census of 1830.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of population.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of money raised by tax.	Total amount due to the towns.
Ashburnham, . .	1403	600 00	23 99	16 73	40 72
Berlin, . . .	690	350 00	11 82	9 76	21 58
Bolton, . . .	1258	800 00	21 55	22 31	43 86
Brookfield, . .	2342	1,200 00	40 12	33 46	73 58
Charlton, . . .	2173	1,000 00	37 23	27 89	65 12
Dana, . . .	623	150 00	10 67	4 18	14 85
Douglas, . . .	1742	500 00	29 84	13 94	43 78
Fitchburg, . .	2179	1,237 50	37 33	34 51	71 84
Grafton, . . .	1889	1,000 00	32 36	27 89	60 25
Harvard, . . .	1601	800 00	27 43	22 31	49 74
Holden, . . .	1718	1,000 00	29 43	27 89	57 32
Hubbardston, . .	1674	1,000 00	28 68	27 89	56 57
Lancaster, . .	2016	1,400 00	34 54	39 04	73 58
Leominster, . .	1862	900 00	31 90	25 09	56 99
Mendon, . . .	3152	1,200 00	54 00	33 46	87 46
Milford, . . .	1360	700 00	23 30	19 52	42 82
Millbury, . . .	1611	1,000 00	27 60	27 89	55 49
New Braintree, . .	825	700 00	14 13	19 52	33 65
Northborough, . .	994	650 00	17 03	18 12	35 15
Northbridge, . .	1053	400 00	18 04	11 15	29 19
North Brookfield, .	1241	800 00	21 26	22 31	43 57
Oxford, . . .	2034	800 00	34 85	22 31	57 16
Paxton, . . .	597	250 00	10 23	6 97	17 20
Petersham, . .	1695	800 00	29 04	22 31	51 35
Phillipston, . .	932	400 00	15 97	11 15	27 12

WORCESTER COUNTY—*Continued.*

TOWNS.	Population by census of 1830.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income, on the ratio of population.	Proportion of a moiety of income, on the ratio of money raised by tax.	Total amount due to the towns.
Royalston, . .	1494	600 00	25 60	16 73	42 33
Rutland, . . .	1276	700 00	21 86	19 52	41 38
Southborough, . .	1080	472 00	18 50	13 16	31 66
Southbridge, . ,	1444	600 00	24 74	16 73	41 47
Sterling, . . .	1789	800 00	30 65	22 31	52 96
Templeton, . .	1551	700 00	26 57	19 52	46 09
Uxbridge, . .	2086	1,000 00	35 73	27 89	63 62
Warren, . . .	1189	600 00	20 37	16 73	37 10
Webster,* . .		1,000 00		27 89	27 89
Westborough, . .	1438	700 00	24 64	19 52	44 16
Westminster, . .	1695	800 00	29 04	22 31	51 35
Winchendon, . .	1463	700 00	25 06	19 52	44 58
Worcester, . .	4172	3,600 00	71 48	100 39	171 87
* Incorporated in 1832.	59,341	31,909 50	1,016 58	889 82	1,906 40

COUNTY OF HAMPSHIRE.

Belchertown, . .	2491	800 00	42 68	22 31	64 99
Cummington, . .	1260	400 00	21 59	11 15	32 74
Enfield, . . .	1056	600 00	18 09	16 73	34 82
Granby, . . .	1064	500 00	18 23	13 94	32 17
Goshen, . . .	606	300 00	10 38	8 36	18 74
Greenwich, . .	813	400 00	13 93	11 15	25 08
Hadley, . . .	1686	1,000 00	28 89	27 89	56 78
Hatfield, . . .	893	500 00	15 30	13 94	29 24

HAMPDEN COUNTY—*Continued.*

TOWNS.	Population by census of 1850.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income, on the ratio of population.	Proportion of a moiety of income, on the ratio of money raised by tax.	Total amount due to the towns.
Middlefield, . .	721	300 00	12 35	8 36	20 71
Pelham, . . .	904	350 00	15 49	9 76	25 25
Plainfield, . .	983	400 00	16 84	11 15	27 99
South Hadley, . .	1185	900 00	20 30	25 09	45 39
Southampton, . .	1253	450 00	21 47	12 54	34 01
Ware, . . .	2045	800 00	35 03	22 31	57 34
Worthington, . .	1178	450 00	20 18	12 54	32 72
	18,138	8,150 00	310 75	227 22	537 97

COUNTY OF HAMPDEN.

Blandford, . .	1590	705 50	27 24	19 67	46 91
Brimfield, . .	1599	800 00	27 40	22 31	49 71
Granville, . . .	1649	500 00	28 25	13 94	42 19
Longmeadow, . .	1257	544 00	21 54	15 17	36 71
Holland, . . .	453	200 00	7 76	5 57	13 33
Ludlow, . . .	1327	500 00	22 74	13 94	36 68
Monson, . . .	2264	900 00	38 79	25 09	63 88
Montgomery, . .	579	350 00	9 92	9 76	19 68
Palmer, . . .	1237	700 00	21 20	19 52	40 72
Russell, . . .	507	200 00	8 68	5 57	14 25
Southwick, . .	1355	nonerais'd by taxes.	23 21		23 21
Springfield, . .	6784	4,000 00	116 23	111 54	227 77
Tolland, . . .	724	200 00	12 44	5 57	18 01

HAMPDEN COUNTY—*Continued.*

TOWNS.	Population by census of 1830.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income, on the ratio of population.	Proportion of a moiety of income, on the ratio of money raised by tax.	Total amount due to the towns.
Wales, . . .	665	300 00	11 39	8 36	19 75
West Springfield, .	3,270	1,200 00	56 02	33 46	89 48
	25,260	11,099 50	432 81	309 47	742 28

COUNTY OF FRANKLIN.

Bernardston, . .	945	200 00	16 19	5 57	21 76
Buckland, . .	1039	350 00	17 80	9 76	27 56
Charlemont, . .	1065	500 00	18 25	13 94	32 19
Coleraine, . .	1762	700 00	30 19	19 52	49 71
Conway, . . .	1563	600 00	26 78	16 73	43 51
Erving's Grant, .	419	150 00	7 18	4 18	11 36
Greenfield, . .	1540	800 00	26 38	22 31	48 69
Hawley, . . .	1037	400 00	17 77	11 15	28 92
Heath, . . .	1199	500 00	20 54	13 94	34 48
Leyden, . . .	796	300 00	13 64	8 36	22 00
Montague, . .	1152	350 00	19 74	9 76	29 50
New Salem, . .	1889	800 00	32 36	22 31	54 67
Northfield, . .	1757	800 00	30 10	22 31	52 41
Orange, . . .	880	400 00	15 08	11 15	26 23
Rowe, . . .	716	312 00	12 27	8 70	20 97
Shutesbury, . .	987	250 00	16 91	6 97	23 88
Wendell, . . .	875	300 00	14 99	8 36	23 35
	19,621	7,712 00	336 17	215 02	551 19

COUNTY OF BERKSHIRE.

TOWNS.	Population by census of 1930.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income, on the ratio of population.	Proportion of a moiety of income, on the ratio of money raised by tax.	Total amount due to the towns.
Adams, . . .	2648	130 00	45 37	3 62	48 99
Alford, . . .	512	200 00	8 77	5 57	14 34
Cheshire, . . .	1051	500 00	18 01	13 94	31 95
Clarksburg, . .	315	20 00	5 40	56	5 96
Dalton, . . .	791	350 00	13 55	9 76	23 31
Florida, . . .	454	150 00	7 78	4 18	11 96
Great Barrington, .	2276	800 00	38 99	22 31	61 30
Hancock, . . .	1053	300 00	18 04	8 36	26 40
Hinsdale, . . .	779	350 00	13 35	9 76	23 11
Lanesborough, . .	1192	400 00	20 42	11 15	31 57
Lee, ...	1846	300 00	31 62	8 36	39 98
Lenox, . . .	1355	500 00	23 21	13 94	37 15
Mount Washington, .	345	150 00	5 91	4 18	10 09
Peru, . . .	719	300 00	12 32	8 36	20 68
Pittsfield, . . .	3561	1,439 27	61 01	40 14	101 15
Richmond, . .	844	200 00	14 46	5 57	20 03
Sandisfield, . .	1655	600 00	28 35	16 73	45 08
Savoy, . . .	928	200 00	15 90	5 57	21 47
Tyringham, . .	1351	500 00	23 15	13 94	37 09
Washington, . .	701	300 00	12 01	8 36	20 37
Windsor, . . .	1042	300 00	17 85	8 36	26 21
	25,418	7,989 27	435 47	222 72	658 19

COUNTY OF NORFOLK.

TOWNS,	Population by census of 1830.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of population.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of money raised by tax	Total amount due to the towns.
Bellingham, . .	1101	500 00	18 86	13 94	32 80
Braintree, . . .	1752	1,040 00	30 02	29 00	59 02
Canton, . . .	1517	1,200 00	25 99	33 46	59 45
Cohasset, . .	1227	800 00	21 02	22 31	43 33
Milton, . . .	1565	1,600 00	26 81	44 62	71 43
Dedham, . . .	3057	3,000 00	52 37	83 67	136 04
Dorchester, . .	4064	4,000 00	69 63	111 54	181 17
Dover, . . .	497	450 00	8 51	12 54	21 05
Medfield, . . .	817	250 00	14 00	6 97	20 97
Medway, . . .	1766	1,000 00	30 26	27 89	58 15
Needham, . .	1420	790 00	24 33	22 03	46 36
Quincy, . . .	2193	2,000 00	37 57	55 77	93 34
Randolph, . .	2200	1,200 00	37 69	33 46	71 15
Roxbury, . . .	5259	3,800 00	90 10	105 97	196 07
Stoughton, . .	1591	1,000 00	27 26	27 89	55 15
Walpole, . . .	1442	1,000 00	24 70	27 89	52 59
Weymouth, . . .	2839	1,600 00	48 64	44 62	93 26
	34,307	25,230 00	587 76	703 57	1291 33

COUNTY OF BRISTOL.

Attleborough, . .	3115	1,150 00	53 37	32 07	85 44
Berkley, . . .	907	400 00	15 54	11 15	26 69
Dartmouth, . .	3867	1,000 00	66 25	27 89	94 14
Dighton, . . .	1737	450 00	29 76	12 54	42 30

BRISTOL COUNTY—*Continued.*

TOWNS.	Population by census of 1830.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of population.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of money raised by tax.	Total amount due to the towns.
Fairhaven, . .	3034	1,500 00	51 98	41 83	93 81
Mansfield, . .	1172	615 50	20 08	17 16	37 24
New Bedford, . .	7592	8,250 00	130 07	230 06	360 13
Norton, . . .	1484	600 00	25 42	16 73	42 15
Pawtucket, . .	1458	400 00	24 98	11 15	36 13
Raynham, . .	1209	600 00	20 71	16 73	37 44
Rehoboth, . .	2458	800 00	42 11	22 31	64 42
Somerset, . . .	1024	375 00	17 54	10 46	28 00
Swanzey, . . .	1673	406 50	28 66	11 33	39 99
Taunton, . . .	6045	3,000 00	103 57	83 67	187 24
Westport, . . .	2778	1,000 00	47 59	27 89	75 48
	39,553	20,547 00	677 63	572 97	1,250 60

COUNTY OF PLYMOUTH.

Abington, . . .	2423	1,600 00	41 51	44 62	86 13
Bridgewater, . .	1855	1,000 00	31 78	27 89	59 67
Carver, . . .	970	300 00	16 62	8 36	24 98
Hanover, . . .	1300	800 00	22 27	22 31	44 58
Hanson, . . .	1030	500 00	17 65	13 94	31 59
Hingham, . . .	3357	2,500 00	57 51	69 71	127 22
Kingston, . . .	1322	900 00	22 65	25 09	47 74
Marshfield, . .	1563	800 00	26 78	22 31	49 09
Middleborough, .	5008	2,000 00	85 80	55 77	141 57
North Bridgewater, .	1953	1,000 00	33 46	27 89	61 35

PLYMOUTH COUNTY—*Continued.*

TOWNS.	Population by census of 1830.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of population,	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of money raised by tax.	Total amount due to the towns.
Pembroke, . .	1324	1,000 00	22 68	27 89	50 57
Plymouth, . .	4751	3,500 00	81 39	97 60	178 99
Rochester, . .	3556	1,400 00	60 93	39 04	99 97
Scituate, . . .	3470	2,500 00	59 45	69 71	129 16
Wareham, . .	1885	800 00	32 30	22 31	54 61
West Bridgewater, .	1042	600 00	17 85	16 73	34 58
	36,809	21,200 00	630 63	591 17	1,221 80

COUNTY OF BARNSTABLE.

Commissioner of the Marshpee Indians,					
Barnstable, . .	3975	1,600 00	68 10	44 62	112 72
Brewster, . . .	1414	400 00	24 23	11 15	35 38
Dennis, . . .	2317	400 00	39 70	11 15	50 85
Falmouth, . .	2548	900 00	43 65	25 09	68 74
Harwich, . . .	2463	1,000 00	42 20	27 89	70 09
Orleans, . . .	1789	360 00	30 65	10 04	40 69
Provincetown, . .	1710	600 00	29 30	16 73	46 03
Sandwich, . .	3367	1,200 00	57 69	33 46	91 15
Truro, . . .	1549	350 00	26 54	9 76	36 30
Wellfleet, . . .	2044	600 00	35 02	16 73	51 75
Yarmouth, . .	2249	1,200 00	38 53	33 46	71 99
	25,425	8,610 00	435 61	240 08	675 69

COUNTY OF NANTUCKET.

TOWNS.	Population by census of 1830.	Amount of money raised by tax and expended in support of common schools.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of population.	Proportion of a moiety of income on the ratio of money raised by tax.	Total amount due to the towns.
Nantucket, . .	7202	3,600 00	123 39	100 39	223 78

COUNTY OF DUKES' COUNTY.

Chilmark, . .	691	400 00	11 84	11 15	22 99
Edgartown, . .	1509	500 00	25 85	13 94	39 79
Tisbury, . . .	1318	600 00	22 58	16 73	39 31
	3518	1,500 00	60 27	41 82	102 09
Total,	473,684	291,024 89	8,115 33	8,115 24	16,230 57

RECAPITULATION

OF THE

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE TO THE SEVERAL COUNTIES.

Suffolk,	$2,962 07
Essex,	2,093 69
Middlesex,	2,013 49
Worcester,	1,906 40
Hampshire,	537 97
Hampden,	742 28
Franklin,	551 19
Berkshire,	658 19
Norfolk,	1,291 33
Bristol,	1,250 60
Plymouth,	1,221 80
Barnstable,	675 69
Nantucket,	223 78
Dukes' County,	102 09
	16,230 57

The whole amount to be apportioned was	$16,231 39
The fractions—say 82 cents, will be carried to the credit of "Interest on the School Fund," for the year 1836,	82
	$16,230 57